

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/594,408	ERICKSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey R. Swearingen	Art Unit 2445	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeffrey R. Swearingen. (3) ____.
- (2) Richard Gregson (#41,804). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner contacted Applicant's prior representative, Wayne Sivertson, on 10/12/2011 to place the case in condition for allowance following the BPAI decision of 10/12/2011. Mr. Sivertson's firm stated they were no longer handling the case and directed the Examiner to Unisys general counsel's office. The Examiner left a message for a return phone call. Mr. Gregson returned the phone call.

Mr. Gregson authorized an examiner's amendment changing claims 2 and 17 into independent form, moving rejected independent claim 1 into claim 2 and independent claim 16 into claim 17. The BPAI previously affirmed the Examiner's rejection of independent claims 1 and 16 and reversed the Examiner's rejection of dependent claims 2 and 17.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/Jeffrey R Swearingen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445	
---	--